

Narrative/Ethnographic Rubric

Title: _____

Dear Reviewer,

This rubric is to be used for narrative and/or ethnographic manuscripts submitted for competition. Please read each manuscript carefully before completing this form. Rate each individual manuscript on a separate rubric by **highlighting** the following loose criteria and **score** each on the table below. Once done, send all completed rubrics to the Competition Coordinator.

Scoring rubric: 1 = poor, 2 = minimal, 3 = average, 4 = good, 5 = exceptional

Originality	1	2	3	4	5
--------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Creativity/Quality of Narration/ Storytelling Excellence	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Clarity/coherence	1	2	3	4	5
--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Effectiveness	1	2	3	4	5
----------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Relevance to communication centers field	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Completeness	Yes 1	No 2
---------------------	-------	------

Should this paper be included in the conference?	Yes 1	No 2
---	-------	------

Overall score _____

Please provide a list of what you feel the author has done especially well.

Please provide suggestions for future revision.

Traditional Research Competitive Rubric

Title: _____

Dear Reviewer,

This rubric is to be used for more traditional research manuscripts submitted for competition. Please read each manuscript carefully before completing this form. Rate each individual manuscript on a separate rubric by **highlighting** the following loose criteria and **score** each on the table below. Once done, send all completed rubrics to the Competition Coordinator.

Scoring rubric: 1 = poor, 2 = minimal, 3 = average, 4 = good, 5 = exceptional

Conceptual framework	1	2	3	4	5	
Appropriate method/analysis	1	2	3	4	5	
Presentation (organization & clarity)	1	2	3	4	5	
Adequacy of discussion & conclusion	1	2	3	4	5	
Relevance to communication centers field	1	2	3	4	5	
Completeness	Yes	1				No 2
Should this paper be included in the conference?	Yes	1				No 2
Overall score	_____					

Please provide a list of what you feel the author has done especially well.

Please provide suggestions for future revision.